

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/603,310	06/21/2000	Carlton Sparrell	FAN-00-010	1600	
44279 75	90 01/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PULSE-LINK, INC. 1969 KELLOGG AVENUE CARLSBAD, CA 92008			TRAN, THIEN D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			2665		
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/603,310		SPARRELL ET AL.				
Om.	ce Acaon Cammary	Examiner		Art Unit				
The M	AILING DATE of this communic	Thien D Tra		2665	dross			
Period for Reply		auon appears on the C	Over Sheet with the Co	orrespondence au	ui ess			
THE MAILING  - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO  - If the period for r  - If NO period for r  - Failure to reply v Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FO B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ne may be available under the provisions of NTHS from the mailing date of this communically reply specified above is less than thirty (30) reply is specified above, the maximum status within the set or extended period for reply we ed by the Office later than three months after rm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event nication.  days, a reply within the statutoutory period will apply and will e ill, by statute, cause the applica	n, however, may a reply be time by minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ation to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. ommunication.			
Status								
1) Respon	sive to communication(s) filed	on <u>13 September</u> 20	<u>04</u> .					
2a)⊠ This ac	, ,	o) ☐ This action is nor	<del></del>					
3)☐ Since th								
Disposition of C	laims							
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☑ Claim(s 7) ☑ Claim(s	i) <u>1-3, 5-9, 11-36</u> is/are pending above claim(s) is/are s) is/are allowed. i) <u>1-3,5-9,11-25 and 27-36</u> is/are objected to. i) <u>26</u> is/are object to restricting are subject to restricting is/are.	e withdrawn from cons						
Application Pape	ers							
9)∐ The spe	cification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
•	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
* *	nt may not request that any object							
•	ment drawing sheet(s) including t n or declaration is objected to l	·			• •			
Pri rity under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119			,				
a)	ledgment is made of a claim for b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority description of the copies of the priority description of the certified copies of the ce	ocuments have been ocuments have been f the priority documen al Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Application ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)	ences Cited (PTO-892)	A	Interview Summary (	(PTO-413)				
<ol><li>Notice of Drafts</li></ol>	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P	TO/SB/08) 5	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  i) Notice of Informal Pa  ii) Other:	ite	)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/603,310

Art Unit: 2665

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimura (U.S Patent No. 5,889,767) in the view of Wolfe et al (U.S Patent No. 4,763,325) and in further view of Panasik (U.S Patent No. 6,668,008).

Regarding claims 1, 5, Kimura discloses a network communication system, comprising:

a first device having a first data bandwidth requirement, said first device configured to transmit and receive data, figure 2;

a second device having a second data bandwidth requirement, said second device configured to transmit and receive data at different data rates and configured to communicate with said first device, figure 2; and

a master transceiver configured to manage data communications between said first device and said second device, col.5 lines 15-45.

Kimura does not disclose that devices having different data rates using variable length of time slots. However, Wolfe discloses that slot of variable length associated with different calls of devices, col.5 lines 55-65. Therefore, it would have been obvious

to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the variable length slot implemented into the system of Kimura so that the efficiency of bandwidth utilization can be achieved.

Kimura and Wolfe do not disclose that the base band signal is an ultra wide band. However, Panasik discloses UWB used for the communication system, col.3 line 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the ultra wide band used in the system of Kimura and Wolfe as a communication frequency base band to have higher data rate in communication system.

Regarding claims 2, 8, Kimura discloses that communication between said first device and said second device is configured to perform in a wireless environment. See figure 2.

Regarding claims 3, 9, Kimura does not disclose that the variation of ultra band frequency is as a function of signal to noise ratio or bit error rate. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have frequency associated with the data rate due to the factor of signal to noise or bit error rate so that the system can properly calculate the correct data bits associated with the transmission.

Regarding claim 6, Kimura discloses that master transceiver is further configured to synchronize communications between said first slave transceiver and said second slave transceiver. See col.1 lines 1-4.

Regarding claim 7, Kimura discloses that a third transceiver in communications with said master transceiver, said third transceiver configured to communicate a plurality of TDMA data packets at different data rates. See col.10 lines 35-45.

Application/Control Number: 09/603,310 Page 4

Art Unit: 2665

3. Claims 11-25, 27-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimura (U.S Patent No. 5,889,767) in the view of Panasik (U.S Patent No. 6,668,008).

Regarding claims 11, 17, 23, 28, 32, Kimura discloses a transceiver, comprising: a data modulation unit configured to generate a plurality of signals having different speed modulations (variable pulse repetition frequencies) and different modulation techniques, col.5 lines 50-60;

a transmitter coupled to said data modulation unit, said transmitter configured to generate a pulse stream according to said data modulation unit, col.5 lines 20-25;

an antenna coupled to said transmitter, said antenna configured to transmit a plurality of baseband signals, figure 2; and

a receiver configured to detect and demodulate said band base band signals, col.8 lines 1-10.

Kimura does not disclose that the base band signal is an ultra wide band.

However, Panasik discloses UWB used for the communication system, col.3 line 5.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the ultra wide band used as a communication frequency base band to have higher data rate in communication system.

Regarding claims 29, 34, Kimura discloses that communication between said first device and said second device is configured to perform in a wireless environment. See figure 2.

Application/Control Number: 09/603,310

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 33, Kimura discloses that master transceiver is further configured to synchronize communications between said first slave transceiver and said second slave transceiver. See col.1 lines 1-4.

Regarding claim 20, Kimura discloses that a third transceiver in communications with said master transceiver, said third transceiver configured to communicate a plurality of TDMA data packets at different data rates. See col.10 lines 35-45.

Regarding claim 12, Kimura discloses that a in communication with said framing control unit (MAC), said Medium Access Control protocol configured to define each of said plurality of TDMA frames. See col.5 lines 25-35.

Regarding claims 13, 14 Kimura discloses that communications between said master transceiver and said at least one slave transceiver is configured to provide for data communications (isochroous and asynchronous). See figures 4.

Regarding claim 15, Kimura discloses that start of frame slot generated by said master transceiver further comprises a synchronization slot configured to synchronize communications between said master transceiver and said at least one slave transceiver. See col.6 line 45.

Regarding claim 16, Kimura discloses start of frame slot generated by said master transceiver further comprises a timestamp slot which is configured to permit said master transceiver to modify each of said plurality of TDMA frames at a predetermined time interval. See col.11 line 52.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/603,310

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 18, 19, 21, 22, Kimura discloses that said data modulation unit comprises a pulse repetition frequency module configured to permit varying pulse repetition frequencies to be transmitted. See col.8 lines 1-10.

Regarding claims 24, 25, Kimura discloses that receiver further comprises a data processing unit coupled to said pulse detection unit, said data processing unit configured to retrieve a plurality of data from said plurality of pulse detection pulses.

See col.5 lines 50-65.

Regarding claim 27 Kimura discloses that the transceiver having housing circuit for decoding data frames, col.9 lines 45-55.

Regarding claim 30, 31, 35, 36 Kimura does not disclose that the variation of ultra band frequency is as a function of signal to noise ratio or bit error rate. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have frequency associated with the data rate due to the factor of signal to noise or bit error rate so that the system can properly calculate the correct data bits associated with the transmission.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 26 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/603,310

Art Unit: 2665

5. Applicant's arguments filed 09/13/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the prior arts do not disclose that the base band signal is an ultra wide band. However, Panasik discloses UWB used for the communication system, col.3 line 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the ultra wide band used as a communication frequency base band to have higher data rate in communication system.

#### **Conclusion**

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/603,310 Page 8

Art Unit: 2665

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Thien Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3156. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached on (571) 272-3155. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Thien Tran

STEVEN MOUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER